

April 24, 1975

SENATOR MARESH: No...

SENATOR SKARDA: Was there ever such an agreement ever made? Did you ever reach a medium where you said you were going to take \$100, \$96, \$140? Where was there ever agreement made? Was there ever agreement?

SENATOR MARESH: A motion was made to strike the Cavanaugh proposition and to insert \$96.

SENATOR SKARDA: I'm talking about the committee.

SENATOR MARESH: That's what I'm talking about. On committee action, a motion, I don't have the records here but a motion was made to strike the Cavanaugh proposition and to insert \$96. You can find that in your bill book.

PRESIDENT: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, members of the body, I think that we totally understand the intent and purpose of the bill, I move the previous question.

CLERK: The question is: Shall debate cease.

PRESIDENT: The question is shall debate cease, record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: 19 ayes, 15 nays.

PRESIDENT: Debate continues. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature I think that my intense young friend Senator Cavanaugh might take another lesson from another good friend of mine, Senator Chambers. You can call it a deal, you can call it an agreement, you can call it working out a problem, or you can call it anything you like. But very rarely is a bill introduced into this Legislature that goes across the board in its original form. Senator Maresh is witness to that in his own LB 74. You talk about a tortuous progress, that's had a breech birth and everything else. It has been subjected to every possible indignity that could happen to a bill and is still being subjected to it, and he is just abiding by the wishes of the members of this body. I would suggest, and I don't think Senator Duis' integrity was challenged, or Senator DeCamp's in talking about a deal. I think that you work out problems between individual members and between members of this body. There isn't a member in here that I haven't gone to and asked for support at one time or another on a bill. And I would also suggest that most of the members of this body have, at one time or another, asked someone else if not me or some other person. I don't see, I agree with Senator Chambers, \$96 is not what Senator Cavanaugh wants it. But neither is it what a lot of other members of this body want it. A hundred dollars was proposed, a hundred dollars was turned down. I supported that proposal. I know of some other persons who did also. I think perhaps it would have gone had Senator Cavanaugh supported the idea. This morning I lost my point of view on a bill, and I fail to understand why some people voted the way they did. But that's not for me to question. That is an individual's right or responsibility. And to question a man's integrity, or Senator's integrity because he happens to disagree with one of us is, I consider it to be, unforgivable. I do not question the integrity of other people because they disagree with me. I may question their judgment, and they